



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,277	04/21/2004	Akihiro Ohno	252094US2	5431
22850	22850 7590 09/21/2006		EXAMINER	
C. IRVIN MCCLELLAND OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			BOEHLER, ANNE MARIE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	_
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/828,277	OHNO ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Anne Marie M. Boehler	3611
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>2-5,7 and 8</u> is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>2-5,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) [/ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicatity documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/828,277 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. ClaimS 2 AND 3 ARE rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glab (USPN 6,450,921).

Glab teaches a four wheel drive vehicle with a switch control means 40 and a gradual switching control means for gradually decreasing the torque the transmission is transmitting before switching mode. Glab fails to specifically refer to a means for determining a difference between present torque and target torque. However, some means for determining the difference between a present torque and a target torque is required for the operation of the control system, such that the controller initiates a control function when the difference exceeds a predetermined value. When the target and present values are the same the controller would not initiate a change, whereas, when the difference exceeds a predetermined value (which can be some nominal value), the control operation would begin, in order to change the present value to the target value. Without this control function, which is conventional, the controller would be inoperable. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the Glab control system with a means for determining the difference between present and target torque values, in order to effectively operate the control system...

Art Unit: 3611

3. Claims 4, 5, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi in view of Glab.

Takahashi teaches a four-wheel drive system with a mode switching control 21 means and a switching inhibiting means that prevents a mode change from occurring based on front to rear wheel speed difference and vehicle speed.

Takasaki teaches providing lamps in the driver compartment of the vehicle to indicate various conditions. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to similarly signal to the driver the four-wheel drive mode state, in order to inform the driver of the current drive mode.

Takahashi lacks a means for gradually decreasing the torque the transmission is transmitting before switching mode. Takasaki teaches providing blinking lamps to indicate various conditions.

Glab teaches a four-wheel drive vehicle with a switch control means 40 and a gradual switching control means for gradually decreasing the torque the transmission is transmitting before switching mode.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the Takahashi system with a means for gradually decreasing torque before switching mode, as taught by Glab, in order to effect smooth transition between modes. Regarding claims 7 and 8, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a blinking light to indicate to the driver when mode selection is being inhibited or the gradual control operation is active, in order to notify the driver of the present driving condition. It would also have been obvious to provide a means for determining a

Art Unit: 3611

difference between target and present torques, as discussed above, in order to effectively operate the control system.

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-5, 7, and 8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues that Glab fails to teach a means for determining a difference between the target and present torque values. This claimed limitation is addressed in the rejection above. Applicant also argues Glab fails to teach a torque reduction that is applied immediately when the torque differences are small. However, this limitation is not presently claimed. Therefore, it is not addressed in the rejection above.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3611

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne Marie M. Boehler whose telephone number is 571-272-6641. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Monday-Thursday, and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6612. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anne Marie M Boehler

Page 5

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3611

amb